A Discussion of 'Shark and the Octopus'

Sent: Wednesday, May 09, 2018 3:19 PM

From: Fathali Moghaddam <moghaddf@georgetown.edu>

Dear Louise,

I thought this discussion of the 'Shark and the Octopus' might amuse people in our network.

Best,

Ali

Moghaddam, F.M. (2018). The Shark and the Octopus. In Wagoner, B, Moghaddam, F. M., & Valsiner, Jaan (ed.), *The Psychology of Radical Social Change: From Rage to Revolution*, (p. 275-290). Cambridge University Press.

■ Read more ⇒

http://fathalimoghaddam.com/wp-content/uploads/2013/10/Scanned-from-a-Xerox-Multifunction-Printer2.pdf

__

Fathali M. Moghaddam

Director, Interdisciplinary Program in Cognitive Science
Professor, Department of Psychology
Georgetown University

Editor
PEACE AND CONFLICT:
JOURNAL OF PEACE PSYCHOLOGY
(American Psychological Association Journals)

Web: <u>fathalimoghaddam.com</u>

Recent books:

Moghaddam, F. M. (2018). Mutual radicalization: How groups and nations drive each other to extremes. APA Press.

https://www.amazon.com/Mutual-Radicalization-Groups-Nations-

Extremes/dp/1433829231/ref=sr 1 1?s=books&ie=UTF8&qid=1522590819&sr=1-

<u>1&keywords=mutual+radicalization&dpID=51G2bQT8qBL&preST= SY291 BO1,204,203,200 QL 40 &dpSrc=srch</u>

Moghaddam, F. M. (2016). The psychology of democracy. APA Press.

https://www.amazon.com/Psychology-Democracy-Fathali-M-

Moghaddam/dp/1433820870/ref=sr 1 1?s=books&ie=UTF8&qid=1514599825&sr=1-

1&keywords=fathali+moghaddam

Moghaddam, F. M. (2013) The psychology of dictatorship. APA Press.

https://www.amazon.com/Psychology-Dictatorship-Fathali-M-

Moghaddam/dp/1433812983/ref=sr 1 3?s=books&ie=UTF8&qid=1514600056&sr=1-3&keywords=fathali+moghaddam

Moghaddam, F M. (2017) (Ed.). The encyclopedia of political behavior (2 vols). Sage https://www.amazon.com/SAGE-Encyclopedia-Political-Behavior/dp/1483391167/ref=sr 1 7?s=books&ie=UTF8&qid=1514600203&sr=1-7&keywords=fathali+moghaddam

Harre, R., & Moghaddam, F. M. (2016) (Eds.). Questioning causality: Scientific explorations of cause and consequence across social contexts. Praeger.

https://www.amazon.com/Questioning-Causality-Scientific-Explorations-Consequence/dp/1440831785

Wagoner, B., Moghaddam, F. M., & Valsiner, J. (Eds.) (2018). The psychology of radical social change: From rage to revolution. Cambridge, UK.: Cambridge University Press.

https://www.amazon.com/Psychology-Radical-Social-Change-

Revolution/dp/1108421628/ref=sr 1 3?s=books&ie=UTF8&qid=1522591019&sr=1-3&keywords=the+psychology+of+radical+social+change

Mailing Address: 3700 'O' Street NW

White Gravenor Hall (3rd floor)

Department of Psychology, Georgetown University

Washington, DC; U.S.A 20057

From: Hans Bakker

Sent: Wednesday, May 09, 2018 5:53 PM

Dear Louise,

I will read it more carefully as time allows, but one key thing that hit me right away is that Ali has sent us a chapter in an edited book on:

The Psychology of Radical Social Change: From Rage to Revolution (Cambridge U.P., 2018) and yet ...

his discussion involves comparative historical sociological (CHS) "ideal type models" (ITMs) which are labelled:

"Shark" and "Octopus".

So are the individual actors in the French Revolution all "sharks"?

Is that also true of the Iranian Revolution? Are the individuals "sharks"?

Is the Russian Revolution ultimately controlled by Bolsheviks who are best described by the ideal type model of "octopi"? (octopuses?)

Is North Korea the same in that regard?

Perhaps we can have some discussions about the sociology of revolutions versus the psychology of revolutions

Is there a social psychology of revolutions that bridges the two disciplines?

I assume "Ali" = Fathali M. Moghaddam, right?

ahimsa,

Hans

J. I. (Hans) Bakker = Johannes Iemke Bakker

wwwjihansbakker.com

Welcome | J.I. Hans Bakker

www.jihansbakker.com

The theory of semiotics is a framework for understanding how one comes to 'knowledge'. In other words it is an epistemology. It works under the assumption that every thing that we come to accept as real is officially a process of decoding signage and creating the reality which an individual comes to accept as Real or real.